States/Union Territories	Number of Job-seekers on live register as on 30-11-1995
13. Maharashtra	3625.4
14. Manipur	271.1
15. Meghalaya	28.3
16. Mizoram	45.8
17. Nagaland	20.9
18. Orissa	891.3
19. Punjab	507.9
20. Rajasthan	786.6
21. Sikkim'	
22. Tamil Nadu	3525.6
23. Tripura	204.5
24. Uttar Pradesh	2521.7
25. West Bengal	5348.8
Union Territories	
26. Andaman & Nicobar Islands	20.0
27. Chandigarh	153.1
28. Dadra & Nagar Haveli	4.5
29. Delhi	1028.9
30. Daman & Diu	4.6
31. Lakshadweep	9.3
32. Pondicherry	151.0
TOTAL :	37223.6

NOTE: *1. NO Employment Exchange is functioning in this State.
2. Figures may not add up to total due to rounding off.

Scope of Employment Generation in the rural non farm sector

1025. SHRI RAH AS BIHARI BARIK: Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the vast scope in the generation of employment in the rural non-farm sector;

(b) if so, the steps taken to lay proper emphasis and explore the possibility of generating employment in those sectors during the Eighth Five Year Plan period; and

(c) the State-wise achievements made thereon during the above period?

THE MINISTER OF LABOUR (SHRI G. VENKATSWAMY): (a) to (c) With a view to providing supplemental employment in the rural areas, wage employment programmes *viz.* Jawahar Rozgar Yojana and the Employment Assurance Scheme (EAS) have' been under implementation during the Eighth Plan period. While the Jawahar Rozgar Yojana has continued from the 7th plan, the EAS was started in 1993-94. Statements (I & II) showing the progress under these two schemes in terms of employment generated (State-wise) are annexed.

Statement I

Employment Generation under Wage Employment Programme

SI. No.	Name of the State/UT	Employment Generated (Lakh Mandays)		
		1993-94	1994-95	1995-96*
1.	Andhra Pradesh	62.42	277.24	147.32
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	3.64	20.84	6.94
3.	Assam	31.75	95.fi0	105.21
4.	Bihar	31.44	193.72	157.35
5.	Gujarat	6.75	35.26	50.22
6.	Haryana	15.20	34.64	31.16
7.	Himachal Pradesh	0.05	3.20	1.90
8.	Jammu & Kashmir	3.46	59.85	70.57
9.	Karnataka	31.12	177.45	154.22
10.	Kerala	2.60	27.64	21.31
11.	Madhya Pradesh	51.26	363.78	255.46

Sl. No	Name of the State/UT	Employment Generated (Lakh Mandays)		
		1993-94	1994-95	1995-96
2.	Maharashtra	31.53	233.89	161.28
13.	Manipur	3.06	28.60	15.96
14.	Meghalaya	0.00	1.39	4.62
15.	Mizoram	8.52	41.71	17.89
16.	Nagaland	33.92	28.81	6.07
17.	Orissa	31.43	281.24	176.89
18.	Rajasthan	50.00	273.11	165.82
19.	Sikkim	0.82	8.50	4.27
10.	Tamil Nadu	10.96	141.29	90.26
11.	Tripura	16.14	60.35	26.66
12.	Uttar Pradesh	15.00	165.63	178.83
13.	West Bengal	52.53	184.79	91.65
14.	A & N Islands	0.10	0.57	0.03
15.	D & N Haveli	0.04	0.10	0.09
16.	Daman & diu	0.00	0.12	00.01
17.	Lakshadweep	0.00	0.34	0.80
	TOTAL:	494.74	2739.56	1942.79

*Information till Dec, 1995.

Statement II

Achievements under Jawahar Rozgar Yojana

Employment Generation: Lakh Mandays

SI. No	State/UT	Employment Generated during the year			
		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96*
1.	Andhra Pradesh	677.93	1028.90	812.25	340.51
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	6.52	4.85	5.58	1.81
3.	Assam	109.72	278.24	263.29	116.20
4.	Bihar	1036.16	1474.25	986.88	808.43
5.	Goa	8.12	8.53	6.45	6.83
6.	Gujarat	235.03	232.64	2.58.48	136.05
7.	Haryana	32.63	33.29	33.96	15.94
8.	Himachal Pradesh	26.16	34.54	28.87	11.00
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	43.01	32.16	88.04	28.09
10.	Karnataka	418.29	651.30	499.67	275.14
11.	Kerala	134.54	120.43	101.01	53.66
12.	Madhya Pradesh	709.66	849.24	1075.25	435.42
13.	Maharashtra	823.53	1188.50	1100.73	507.76
14.	Manipur	5.23	6.68	7.16	7.01
15.	Meghalaya	8.90	9.55	8.50	2.72
16.	Mizoram	4.78	6.32	5.72	3.59
17.	Nagaland	15.47	16.02	8.47	0.00
18.	Orissa	326.39	522.96	604.51	355.77
19.	Punjab	31.78	38.57	24.36	6.44
20.	Rajasthan	339.09	450.37	545.58	170.33

Sl. No	State/UT	Employment Generated during the year			
		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96*
21.	Sikkim	13.42	10.14	7.03	4.35
22.	Tamil Nadu	767.86	881.10	1027.66	603.83
23.	Tripura	13.94	23.41	29.02	14.58
24.	Uttar Pradesh	1496.29	1791.16	1395.94	857.97
25.	West Bengal	525.55	554.03	5f;0.82	232.07
26.	Andaman & Nicobar Islands	1.71	1.81	2.59	0.42
27.	Chandigarh	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28.	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	2.70	2.34	2.07	0.48
29.	Daman & Diu	0.12	0.59	0.55	0.31
30.	Delhi	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
31.	Lakshadweep	2.68	2.21	1.91	0.75
32.	Pondicherry	3.81	4.27	4.72	4.13
	TOTAL:	7821.02	10258.40	9517.07	5001.59

*1995-96 upto Dec, 1995.

Policy to boost export of Agricultural Products

1026. CHAUDHARY HARMOHAN SINGH: Will the Minister of COMMERCE be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1961 given in the Rajya Sabha on 21st August, 1995 and Unstarred Question 213D on 18th December, 1995 and state whether Government have fixed any target for agricultural products and for their exports upto the year 2000 AD?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COMMERCE (SHRI P. CHIDAMBARAM): The export projections for agricultural commodities including coffee, tea, raw cotton and marine products during the remaining period of the Eighth Five Year Plan (1992—97) at 1991-92 prices are as follows:—

(Rs. Crores)

Year	Export Projection
1995-96	11030
1996-97	12064

No export targets have been fixed for the remaining period upto the year 2000 AD.

Issue of Advance Licences

1027. SHRI SANJAY DALMIA:
SHRI MOOLCHAND MEENA:
SHRI ISH DUTT YADAV:

Will the Minister of COMMERCE be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Advance Licences cleared by Government during each of the last two years togetherwith the number of cases pending .for clearance alongwith the reasons for delay in clearing these pending cases; and

(b) the steps taken by Government to clear these licences?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COMMERCE (SHRI P. CHIDAMBARAM): (a) and (b) The total number of Advance Licences issued during 1993-94 and 1994-95 were 33,636 and 44,257 respectively. Receipt of applications for Advance Licences and issuance of Advance Licences take place on day to day basis. Every efforts is made by the Licensing Authorities to dispose of applications, complete in all respects, within the time limit prescribed as per paragraph 258 of the Hand Book Procedures-Vol. I. While there have been instances where the prescribed time-limit